

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/049,504	ANANTHAN, SUBRAMANIAM	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patricia L. Morris	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia L. Morris.

(2) Mr. Amernick.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 July 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In view of applicants deleting the compounds of formula (I), the proviso clause appears to be no longer needed in claim 1. Counsel gave authority to cancel the proviso clause in claim 1 and the nonelected claims 2-6 and 12-16.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)